## Allegan County **Board** of Commissioners



County Services Building 3283 - 122<sup>nd</sup> Avenue Allegan, MI 49010 269-673-0203 Main Office 269-686-5331 Main Fax http://www.allegancounty.org

Jim Storey, Chair Dean Kapenga, Vice Chair

#### **BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING – AGENDA** \*REVISION #1 – 7/11/24

Thursday, July 11, 2024 – 1 PM

County Services Building – Board Room Virtual Connectivity Options Attached

Jim Storey 616-848-9767 istorev@ allegancounty.org

**DISTRICT 1** 

**CALL TO ORDER:** 1 PM

**ROLL CALL:** 

**OPENING PRAYER:** Commissioner Gale Dugan

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

**DISTRICT 2** Mark DeYoung

616-318-9612

**DISTRICT 3** 

Dean Kapenga

allegancounty.org

616-218-2599 dkapenga@

mdeyoung@ allegancounty.org **PUBLIC HEARING:** 

**COMMUNICATIONS:** Attached

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** June 27, 2024

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:** 

**EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION:** Matt Baird, Public Health

**ADDITIONAL AGENDA ITEMS:** 

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

**PRESENTATIONS:** 

**PROCLAMATIONS:** 

**INFORMATIONAL SESSION: None** 

**ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS:** 

#### **CONSENT ITEMS:**

#### **DISTRICT 4**

Scott Beltman 616-292-1414 sbeltman@ allegancounty.org

- 1. Motion to approve of claims paid and to incorporate into proceedings of the Board (7/5/24 & 7/12/24)
- 2. \*Board of Commissioners—authorize creation of fund 217 and adopt 2024 Budget (241-322)

#### **DISTRICT 5**

Gale Dugan 269-694-5276 gdugan@ allegancounty.org

#### **ACTION ITEMS:**

1. None

#### **DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

1. None

#### NOTICE OF APPOINTMENTS & ELECTIONS:

#### **ELECTIONS:**

1. Economic Development Commission

#### **Mission Statement**

"The Allegan County Board of Commissioners shall plan, develop, and evaluate the necessary policies and resources to ensure our county continues to progress and prosper" a. Healthcare Representative—term expired 12/31/23

#### **APPOINTMENTS:**

- 1. Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (1) —term expires 12/31/24
- 2. 911 Policy & Procedure Board
  - a. West Side Fire Chief Representative—term expires 7/31/24 Application REC 7/3
  - b. East Side Fire Chief Representative—term expires 7/31/24 Application REC 7/3
  - c. Medical Control Representative—term expires 7/31/24 Application REC 7/3
  - d. Township Representative—term expires 7/31/24 Application REC 7/3
- 3. Community Corrections Advisory Board
  - a. Business Community Representative—term expired 12/31/23
- 4. Local Emergency Planning Committee
  - a. Media Representative—term expired 12/31/22
  - b. Facilities Representative—term expires 12/31/25
  - c. Firefighter Representative—term expires 12/31/25
- 5. Tourist Council (1) —term expired 12/31/23
- 6. Jury Board (Circuit Judge recommends/Board appoints)
  - a. One Representative—term expired 8/31/23
  - b. One Representative—term expires 3/31/25

#### **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:**

#### **FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

- 1. OPENING PRAYER: Commissioner Mark DeYoung
- 2. INFORMATIONAL SESSION: Public Defender's Office—Chad Catalino, Director
- 3. CONSENT: Motion to approve of claims paid and to incorporate into proceedings of the Board (7/19/24 & 7/26/24)
- 4. PRESENTATION: 2023 Audit

#### **REQUEST FOR MILEAGE:**

#### **BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS REPORTS:**

**ROUND TABLE:** 

**CLOSED SESSION:** 

ADJOURNMENT: Next Meeting – Thursday, July 25, 2024, 1 PM @ BOARD ROOM – COUNTY SERVICES BUILDING, COUNTY SERVICES COMPLEX.

Please note that Regular and Special Meetings of the Board of Commissioners held in the Board Room are streamed live and recorded in accordance with the County's Live Stream & Publishing Recordings of Meetings Policy, which can be viewed on the County's website.

Your attendance constitutes your consent to audio/visual streaming and/or recording and to permit the County to broadcast your name/voice/image/content to a broader audience.



# Allegan County **Board of Commissioners**

# STEP 1: Connect to the Meeting

- OPTION 1: Zoom over Telephone
  - Call (929) 205-6099 -or- (312) 626-6799 -or- (253) 215-8782
  - Type in Meeting ID: 891 6032 7098, then #, then # again
  - Type in Meeting Password: 471420, then #
  - To raise your hand to speak, press \*9
  - To Mute and Unmute, press 6\*

<STOP here>

You do not have to continue reading the rest of the instructions.

- OPTION 2: Youtube
  - Open Internet Explorer or Chrome
  - Navigate to https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCQliZQstN2Pa57QAltAWdKA
  - Click on image of "Live" video

<STOP here>

You do not have to continue reading the rest of the instructions.

- OPTION 3: Zoom over Web browser
  - Open Internet Explorer or Chrome
  - Navigate to https://zoom.us/j/89160327098
  - Meeting Password: Board

<Continue with the rest of the instructions>

# STEP 2: Enter registration information



# STEP 3: This Window will appear when connected.



# STEP 4: Adjust audio settings (if needed)



# STEP 5: Raise hand to be recognized to speak.

 Once "Raise Hand" is clicked, the Board Chairperson will receive notice and may UNMUTE your microphone when ready and verbally recognize you to speak.

### On bottom of screen.

Click Raise Hand in the Webinar Controls.



- The host will be notified that you've raised your hand.
- Click Lower Hand to lower it if needed.



# STEP 6: To leave the meeting





#### **Resolution 22-2024**

Date: March 20, 2024

#### Resolution of Support for Adoption of SB662\_2024

**WHEREAS**, the Grand Traverse County Board of Commissioners met in regular session on February 10, 1960, and resolved to establish a special assessment district for the purpose of constructing a dam on Duck Lake, located in Green Lake Township, to control the level of Duck Lake; and

**WHEREAS**, the Grand Traverse County Board of Commissioners met in regular session on October 29, 1986, and resolved (#133-86) to establish a special assessment district to determine and maintain a high-water level for Silver Lake, located in Garfield and Blair Townships, and to promote drainage from the lake; and

**WHEREAS,** the 13th Circuit Court has issued orders for the maintenance of lake levels on Duck Lake and Silver Lake, and dams were constructed on both lakes for the control of drainage from the lakes in furtherance of maintaining the Court-ordered lake levels; and

WHEREAS, Part 307 of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act (NREPA), Public Act 451 of 1994, as amended, is entitled "Inland Lake Levels," being Section 324.30701, et seq. of Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL). Part 307 regulates the maintenance of the "normal level" of lakes established by Court orders in accordance with Part 307; and

WHEREAS, the Grand Traverse County Board of Commissioners entered into an Administrative Consent Agreement (ACO-05362, dated: July 15, 2019) with the State of Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy to resolve the State's Failure of Notice claim attendant to the 13th Circuit Court's proceedings in 2017 to re-affirm the "normal level" of Duck Lake; and

**WHEREAS**, ACO-05362 imposes normal level maintenance obligations upon Grand Traverse County to maintain monthly minimum flows out of Duck Lake in addition to maintaining the "normal level"; and

WHEREAS, the 2022 court case Citizens for Higgins Lake Legal Levels v. Roscommon County Board of Commissioners in Michigan's Court of Appeals held that a normal lake level must be strictly maintained with no variance allowed to the Court's-ordered level; and

**WHEREAS**, the Court of Appeals ruled that Roscommon County had a clear legal duty to maintain the normal lake level at the precise elevation set forth in the Circuit Court Order, such that even the slightest deviation constituted a breach of that ministerial duty; and

**WHEREAS,** the appeal to the Michigan Supreme Court of Michigan's Court of Appeals ruling in Citizens for Higgins Lake Legal Levels v. Roscommon County Board of Commissioners has not resulted in establishing any revision to the Court of Appeals' ruling in the matter of "normal level" maintenance; and

**WHEREAS**, the Grand Traverse County Board of Commissioners adopted Resolution 89-2021 to delegate limited authority to the Grand Traverse County Drain Commissioner to operate the lake level control structures (dams) that maintain the normal levels of Duck Lake and Silver Lake pursuant to Part 307 of the NREPA; and

WHEREAS, Duck Lake Dam is equipped with an automated lake level monitor that continuously measures, records and broadcasts the Duck Lake level to the Grand Traverse County website for the benefit of stakeholders, including the Drain Commissioner who utilizes monitoring with weather forecasting in an effort to maintain the "normal level" and the ACO-mandated minimum outflows; and

**WHEREAS**, Duck Lake has multiple streams that inlet to the lake and which, in addition to natural springs, provide water flow into Duck Lake affecting normal level; and

**WHEREAS**, Duck Lake has only one, solitary, outlet stream which is the headwater flow of the Betsie River, which flow is controlled by the Duck Lake Dam; and

WHEREAS, the normal level of Duck Lake can be influenced by factors downstream of the Duck Lake Dam, which is outside the control and limited authority of the Drain Commissioner and can include the natural actions of beaver and other wildlife, woodland deadfall obstructions, human activities that restrict or increase stream flow, and the unregulated flows of downstream tributaries to the Betsie River that occupy its steam channel capacity for flows; and

WHEREAS, the Drain Commissioner is a licensed Professional Engineer, examined by the State of Michigan and found competent to practice in the engineering areas of hydraulics, hydrology, open-channel flow and hydrogeology, and has reviewed 2½ years of Duck Lake Dam operational data along with the engineering studies previously commissioned by the Grand Traverse County Board of Commissioners in establishing and affirming the "normal level" for Duck Lake. The Drain Commissioner has also reviewed the "Betsie River Hydrologic and Hydraulic Study, May 2014," commissioned by the Water Resources Division of the Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy in conjunction with the Drain Commissioner's limited authority to the maintain normal level of Duck Lake; and

WHEREAS, the Drain Commissioner has found it is technically impractical, perhaps impossible, to consistently maintain the normal level of Duck Lake utilizing the Duck Lake Dam for flow control, and that the additional obligations to maintain minimum flows imposed by ACO-05362 can counter efforts to maintain a normal level if minimum flows out of Duck Lake diminish lake levels at and below the normal level; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 662 of the 2024 legislative session proposes to amend Part 307 of the NREPA, including the definition of "normal level". The proposed "normal level" would allow for temporary fluctuations in water level resulting from weather, natural events, or construction activities—offering greater flexibility to Grand Traverse County to comply with the current Circuit Court Order and with ACO-05362; and

**WHEREAS**, Senate Bill 662 was passed out of the Senate by a considerable majority and now resides in the House Committee on Natural Resources, Environment, Tourism and Outdoor Recreation; and

**WHEREAS,** Senate Bill 662 has been reviewed by outside Civil Counsel to the County Board of Commissioners who identify benefit to the County if the bill is adopted into law as presently written.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THIS BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, THAT Grand Traverse County supports Senate Bill 662 of 2024 as currently written, and hereby urges the Michigan Legislature to amend the current definition of "normal level" under Part 307 of Public Act 451 of 1994, as amended, with the definition included in Senate Bill 662 introduced to the House Committee on Natural Resources, Environment, Tourism and Outdoor Recreation.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Grand Traverse County Clerk is requested to forward copies of the adopted resolution to the Governor of the State of Michigan, the State Senate Majority and Minority leaders, the State House Speaker and Minority Leader, the members of the Grand Traverse County delegation to the Michigan Legislature, and the other 82 counties of Michigan as commissioner correspondence.

# EATON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS JUNE 20, 2024

#### RESOLUTION OPPOSING MDHHS PLANS TO IMPLEMENT NEW CONFLICT FREE ACCESS AND PLANNING STRATEGIES IN MICHIGAN

#### **Introduced by the Public Safety Committee**

WHEREAS, Community Mental Health Authority of Clinton, Eaton, and Ingham Counties (CMHA-CEI) is a multi-county Community Mental Health Services Program (CMHSP) and a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) serving residents of Clinton, Eaton, and Ingham Counties. CMHA-CEI provides specialty mental health services and supports to over 13,000 persons with mental health conditions, youth with serious emotional disturbance, individuals with intellectual/developmental disabilities and individuals with substance use disorders; and

WHEREAS, the public mental health system in Michigan is based on the Federal Community Mental Health Centers Act of 1963 and grounded in the Michigan Mental Health Code, Public Act 258 of 1974. This created a state and county partnership for community mental health and related safety net services; and

WHEREAS, this arrangement ensures that shared state and county mental health policy objectives are accountable to local communities and their elected representatives. This arrangement also ensures that resource and care decisions are ultimately accountable through board governance to the persons and families that need public mental health services. including allocated PA2 funding; and

WHEREAS, CMHSPs are instruments of county government with statutorily defined obligations that mitigate against the likelihood of a pecuniary conflict of interest. These include direct accountability to the community through a public board, open meetings, a guaranteed recipient rights appeal & grievance system, established independent person-centered planning facilitation requirements, and expanding availability of consumer self-determination/self-directed options.

WHEREAS, MDHHS has announced its decision to require CMHSPs to separate service assessment and planning from service delivery, requiring beneficiaries to receive the assessment and planning services from one entity and ongoing direct services from another, separate entity by October 1, 2024; and

WHEREAS after careful review the conclusions are that the current decision:

• Is in conflict with the statutory responsibilities of CMHSPs under Michigan law;

- Erroneously implies profit driven or undue enrichment motives on the part of governmental entities (CMHSPs and PIHPs) instead of recognizing what is actually a formal transfer of governmental responsibility from the State to the Counties for the delivery of public behavioral health services;
- Ignores the capitation-based financing of the Michigan public behavioral health system, which is constant and does not vary by volume of individuals served negating any conflicts of interest in service planning and service delivery;
- Ignores Michigan's current shared risk (with MDHHS) financing system which already mitigates against conflict and self-interest.
- Is in conflict with the Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) model currently being implemented and expanded in Michigan;
- Ignores, at best, and disregards, at worst, input from persons with lived experience that have consistently stated that the available procedural safeguards are preferable to systemic/structural upheaval inherent in MDHHS announced decisions.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, in the strongest possible terms, and for the reasons noted herein, the Eaton County Board of Commissioners opposes the MDHHS announced structural strategies for compliance with the federal Conflict Free Access and Planning Rules.

**FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED,** that Eaton County respectfully asks the Governor to urge MDHHS to rethink their proposal for the Conflict Free Access & Planning requirements within the context of the 61 year state and county statutory relationship for public mental health services and collaborate with the Michigan Community Mental Health Association to identify procedural pathways for compliance that build on the strengths of the existing CMHSP system.

FINALLY, BE IT RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be provided to US Senator Debbie Stabenow, US Representative Elissa Slotkin, Governor Gretchen Whitmer, Senate Majority Leader Winnie Brinks, Speaker of the House Joe Tate, Senator Sarah Anthony, Representative Angela Witwer, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services Director Elizabeth Hertel, the Michigan Association of Counties, and all Michigan counties.

THIS RESOLUTION was adopted by the Eaton County Board of Commissioners at its regularly scheduled meeting on 2004.

Chairman of the Board of Commissioners

Clerk of the Board of Commissioners

# 166 ALLEGAN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

#### INDEX

#### JUNE 27, 2024 SESSION

URNAL 72		
PAGE	ITEM	SUBJECT MATTER
168	1	JUNE 27, 2024 SESSION - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL
168	2	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - NO COMMENTS
168	3	AGENDA - ADOPTED AS PRESENTED
168	4	MEDICAL EXAMINER 2023 ANNUAL REPORT
168	5	REVIEW BOARD MINUTES & AGENDA ACCESS
168	6	BREAK - 10:13 A.M.
168-169	7	ADMINISTRATIVE UPDATE
169	8	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - NO COMMENTS
169	9	CLOSED SESSION: COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
169	10	RECONVENE/ROLL CALL FROM CLOSED SESSION
169	11	ADJOURNMENT UNTIL JULY 11, 2024 AT 9:00 A.M.
169	12	JUNE 27, 2024 SESSION - INVOCATION, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL
169-170	13	COMMUNICATIONS
170	14	JUNE 6, 2024 SESSION MINUTES - ADOPTED
170	15	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - NO COMMENTS
170	16	EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION-DEPUTY DAVID MILLER
170	17	AGENDA - ADOPTED AS PRESENTED
170-172	18	FINANCE COMMITTEE - CLAIMS & INTERFUND TRANSFER
172	19	PUBLIC HEALTH -PLAN OF ORGANIZATION
172-181	20	ADMINSTRATION - ACCEPT ANIMAL SHELTER DONATION PLAN June 27, 2024 Session

June 27, 2024 Session

1	67	

182	21	FACILITIES MANAGEMENT-AWARD COUNTY SERVICES BUILDING ROOF REPLACEMENT BID
182	22	FACILITIES MANAGEMENT-AWARD YOUTH HOME BUILDING ROOF REPLACEMENT BID
183-190	23	AREA AGENCY ON AGING OF WESTERN MICHIGAN-APPROVE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FY25
191-195	24	BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS—SUPPORT ONPOINT'S RESOLUTION ON PROPOSED WASKUL SETTLEMENT
195	25	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - COMMENTS
195	26	ADJOURNMENT UNTIL JULY 11, 2024 AT 1:00 P.M.

#### MORNING SESSION

#### JUNE 27, 2024 SESSION - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL

1/ The Board of Commissioners of the County of Allegan, State of Michigan, met in the Board Room of the County Services Building in the Township of Allegan on June 27, 2024 at 9:00 A.M. in accordance with the motion for adjournment of June 6, 2024, and rules of this board; Chair Storey presiding.

The Chief Deputy Clerk led the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

Upon roll call the following members answered as Commissioners for the respective Districts:

DIST	#1	JIM STOREY
DIST	#2	MARK DEYOUNG
DIST	#3	DEAN KAPENGA
DIST	#4	SCOTT BELTMAN
DIST	#5	GALE DUGAN

#### PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - NO COMMENTS

2/ Chair Storey opened the meeting to public participation and as there were no comments from the public, he closed the meeting to public participation.

#### AGENDA - ADOPTED AS PRESENTED

3/ Moved by Commissioner Dugan, seconded by Commissioner Beltman to adopt the meeting agenda as presented. Motion carried by voice vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes.

#### MEDICAL EXAMINER 2023 ANNUAL REPORT

4/ Dr. Patrick Hansma DO and Dr. John Storer MS provided the board with data and the investigation process of deaths that occur in Allegan County.

#### REVIEW BOARD MINUTES & AGENDA ACCESS

**5/** Administrator Sarro reviewed the process to find the Board of Commissioners minutes and agendas for the current and past meetings on the County webpage and the process of posting notices and county information that can be found on the internet.

#### BREAK - 10:13 A.M.

**6/** Upon reconvening at 10:22 A.M., the following Commissioners were present: Commissioner Storey, DeYoung, Kapenga, Beltman and Dugan. Absent: None.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE UPDATE

7/ Administrator Sarro noted his written report was submitted to Commissioners. Highlights included; CAC property search and the Federal restrictions. Talked about the GPS and routing equipment in the Allegan County transportation vehicles. Press release being done to educate the

public on the activation of sirens in Allegan County. There is going to be an insert in the local tax bills with information regarding broadband.

#### PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - NO COMMENTS

8/ Chair Storey opened the meeting to public participation and as there were no comments from the public, he closed the meeting to public participation.

#### CLOSED SESSION: COLLECTIVE BARGAINING

**9/** Moved by Commissioner Dugan, seconded by Commissioner Beltman to recess to closed session to discuss collective bargaining. Motion carried by roll call vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes.

#### RECONVENE/ROLL CALL FROM CLOSED SESSION

10/ Moved by Commissioner Dugan, seconded by Commissioner Kapenga that the Board come out of closed session at 11:52 A.M. Motion carried by voice vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes.

#### ADJOURNMENT UNTIL JULY 11, 2024 AT 9:00 A.M.

11/ Moved by Commissioner Beltman, seconded by Commissioner DeYoung to adjourn until July 11, 2024 at 9:00 A.M. The motion carried by voice vote and the meeting was adjourned at 11:54 A.M. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes.

#### AFTERNOON SESSION

#### JUNE 27, 2024 SESSION - INVOCATION, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL

12/ The Board of Commissioners of the County of Allegan, State of Michigan, met in the Board Room of the County Services Building in the Township of Allegan on June 27, 2024 at 1:07 P.M. in accordance with the motion for adjournment of June 6, 2024, and rules of this Board; Chair Storey presiding.

The invocation was offered by District #3 Commissioner Kapenga.

The Chief Deputy County Clerk led the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

Upon roll call the following members answered as Commissioners for the respective Districts:

DIST #1 JIM STOREY

DIST #2 MARK DEYOUNG

DIST #3 DEAN KAPENGA

DIST #4 SCOTT BELTMAN

DIST #5 GALE DUGAN

#### COMMUNICATIONS

13/ Chief Deputy Clerk Porter noted to the board that they received the following communications:

1. Genesee County Resolution Designates June 2024 Pride Month in Genesee County.

2.Crawford County Resolution Opposing the FY 2025 Governors Recommended Budget Reducing Operational Funding for the Michigan Conservation Districts and the Elimination of Local Administration of the Michigan Agriculture Environmental Assurance Program (MAEAP)

#### JUNE 6, 2024 SESSION MINUTES - ADOPTED

14/ Moved by Commissioner Dugan, seconded by Commissioner Kapenga to approve the minutes for the June 6, 2024 session as distributed. Motion carried by voice vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: votes.

#### PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - NO COMMENTS

15/ Chair Storey opened the meeting to public participation and as there were no comments from the public, he closed the meeting to public participation.

#### EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION

16/ Sheriff Frank Baker recognized the retirement of Deputy David Miller for his 26 years of service to Allegan County Sheriff's Department.

#### AGENDA - ADOPTED AS PRESENTED

17/ Moved by Commissioner Kapenga, seconded by Commissioner Dugan to adopt the meeting agenda as presented. Motion carried by voice vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes.

#### FINANCE COMMITTEE - CLAIMS & INTERFUND TRANSFERS

18/ WHEREAS, Administration has compiled the following claims for June 14, 2024, June 21, 2024 and June 28, 2024; and

WHEREAS, the following claims, which are chargeable against the County, were audited in accordance with Section 46.61 to 46.63, inclusive, M.C.L. 1970 as amended and resolutions of the Board; and

WHEREAS, said claims are listed in the 2024 Claims folder of the Commissioners' Record of Claims.

#### JUNE 14,2024

	TOTAL AMOUNT CLAIMED	AMOUNT ALLOWED	AMOUNT DISALLOWED
General Fund – 101	175,007.67	175,007.67	
Parks/Recreation Fund – 208	10,473.67	10,473.67	
Friend of the Court – Cooperative Reimb – 215	2,024.10	2,024.10	
Friend of the Court – Other - 216	440.00	440.00	
Health Department Fund – 221	2,623.97	2,623.97	
Animal Shelter – 254	5,447.47	5,447.47	
Indigent Defense Fund – 260	58,673.60	58,673.60	
CDBG Program Income Fund – 277	30.00	30.00	
Grants – 279	5,700.31	5,700.31	
Transportation Fund – 288	19,594.91	19,594.91	
Child Care Fund – 292	27,801.75	27,801.75	

### 171

Veterans Relief Fund – 293	2,067.74	2,067.74	
Senior Services Fund – 298	4,929.62	4,929.62	
American Rescue Plan Act – ARPA – 299	395,658.00	395,658.00	
Property Tax Adjustments – 516	6,246.54	6,246.54	
Tax Reversion 2018 – 629	7,592.85	7,592.85	
Revolving Drain Maintenance Fund – 639	563.77	563.77	
Fleet Management/Motor Pool – 661	626.01	626.01	
Self-Insurance Fund – 677	146,645.85	146,645.85	
Drain Fund – 801	14,581.71	14,581.71	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF CLAIMS	\$886,729.54	\$886,729.54	

#### JUNE 21,2024

	TOTAL AMOUNT CLAIMED	AMOUNT ALLOWED	AMOUNT DISALLOWED
General Fund – 101	148,508.98	148,508.98	
Friend of the Court – Cooperative Reimb – 215	4,010.07	4,010.07	
Health Department Fund – 221	13,689.08	13,689.08	
Solid Waste/Recycling – 226	34,605.30	34,605.30	
Animal Shelter – 254	5,939.73	5,939.73	
Indigent Defense Fund – 260	61,603.75	61,603.75	
Central Dispatch Fund – 261	5,122.50	5,122.50	
Grants – 279	4,390.00	4,390.00	
Sheriffs Contracts – 287	7,934.04	7,934.04	
Transportation Fund – 288	116,335.02	116,335.02	
Child Care Fund – 292	3,448.97	3,448.97	
Senior Services Fund – 298	128,376.12	128,376.12	
American Rescue Plan Act – ARPA – 299	14,484.00	14,484.00	
Capital Improvement Fund – 401	252.00	252.00	
Property Tax Adjustments – 516	12,935.71	12,935.71	
Revolving Drain Maintenance Fund – 639	36.01	36.01	
Self-Insurance Fund – 677	1,356.81	1,356.81	
Drain Fund – 801	12,695.14	12,695.14	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF CLAIMS	\$575,723.23	\$575,723.23	

#### JUNE 28, 2024

	TOTAL AMOUNT CLAIMED	AMOUNT ALLOWED	AMOUNT DISALLOWED
General Fund – 101	34,823.59	34,823.59	
Parks/Recreation Fund – 208	3,008.38	3,008.38	
Health Department Fund – 221	2,220.17	2,220.17	
Solid Waste/Recycling – 226	60,916.65	60,916.65	
Register of Deeds Automation Fund – 256	6,000.00	6,000.00	

June 27, 2024 Session

Indigent Defense Fund – 260	39,230.15	39,230.15	
Central Dispatch Fund – 261	59,592.50	59,592.50	
Grants - 279	5,450.00	5,450.00	
Sheriffs Contracts – 287	128.76	128.76	
Transportation Fund – 288	523.60	523.60	
Child Care Fund – 292	4,173.94	4,173.94	
Fitness Center Fund – 296	879.21	879.21	
Senior Services Fund – 298	65,818.38	65,818.38	
Capital Improvement Fund – 401	242,728.92	242,728.92	
Tax Reversion – 620	18,337.00	18,337.00	
Drain Fund – 801	91,383.18	91,383.18	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF CLAIMS	\$635,214.43	\$635,214.43	

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners adopts the report of claims for June 14, 2024, June 21, 2024 and June 28, 2024.

Moved by Commissioner Dugan, seconded by Commissioner Kapenga to adopt the report of claims for June 14, 2024, June 21, 2024 and June 28, 2024. Motion carried by roll call vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes.

#### ACTION ITEMS:

#### PUBLIC HEALTH -PLAN OF ORGANIZATION

19/ WHEREAS, as part of a periodic accreditation process for Public Health, the Board of Commissioners (Board) must review and approve the Plan of Organization; and

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2024, the County Administrator reviewed access to the Plan of Organization with the Board; and

WHEREAS, no questions or concerns have been received.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Board approves the Health Department's Plan of Organization of 2024; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Health Officer is instructed to submit the final copy to the State of Michigan by July 8, 2024; and

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED,** that the County Administrator is authorized to sign all necessary documents on behalf of the County as the Local Governmental Unit Representative.

Moved by Commissioner Dugan, seconded by Commissioner Kapenga to adopt the resolution as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes.

#### **DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

#### ADMINSTRATION - ACCEPT ANIMAL SHELTER DONATION PLAN

20/ WHEREAS, the Animal Shelter receives donations to support animal care; and

WHEREAS, the County Animal Shelter staff seeks to use donations and, as such, developed a donation plan as required by the budget policy.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners hereby approves the Animal Shelter donation plan as received; and

June 27, 2024 Session

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED that the County Administrator is authorized to make the necessary budget adjustments and create any financial accounts.

Moved by Commissioner Kapenga, seconded by Commissioner Beltman to take immediate action and approve the resolution as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes





# Project Scoping Animal Shelter Donation Plan

Version 2.0

PURPOSE: The purpose of this document is to gauge the value this project idea has in reaching a desired future state, gauging the project's impact on budget and resources, and charting a course for next steps.

Project Name:	Animal Shelter Donation Plan
Project Sponsor:	Dan Wedge
Project Manager:	Dan Wedge
Date Initial Scope Submitted:	June 21, 2024
Date Scope Completed:	June 26, 2024

#### PART A – PROJECT SUMMARY

#### 1. CURRENT STATE

Fully describe the current state and list all associated issues, concerns and/or deficiencies.

In November 2023, the Allegan County Animal Shelter operation transitioned from Wishbone Pet Rescue Alliance back to the County. Prior to this date, Wishbone Pet Rescue Alliance received and used donations to support operations at the shelter. Since the transition, donations have continued to be made to the County. Without a donation plan, there is no way of determining how donations are used.

#### 2. DESIRED FUTURE STATE

Describe the action(s) desired to address or change the current state, your expectations, proposed solution and the desired outcomes.

Consistent with the budget policy, Donation and Surplus Programs, section 4.20 requirements, this document represents a donation plan and outlines the acceptance and use of Allegan County Animal Shelter donations. As stated in the Budget policy section 4.20: Acceptance and use of any donation (money, goods, or services) shall be consistent with the nature of the County's Services and Programs, Strategic Goals, and internal controls and subject to the approval or rejection by the Board of Commissioners or County Administrator. As such this plan is being submitted in accordance with this policy. The desired outcome

would be authorization to accept future donations, including restricted donations and expend these funds in a manner consistent with the budget policy, internal controls, and this plan.

#### 3. ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND

Provide any additional background information relevant to this project not already mentioned above if necessary to give a broader context for this project.

This plan includes an Appendix A with a list of current needs. The list of identified operational and capital needs will be reviewed annually as part of the budget submission process or as needed. Any changes to the approved list will be sent to the CAO for review and approval. The categories on the list are divided into three sections. 1.) Operational Items \$4,999 or less, 2.) Capital or operational items from \$5,000 to \$49,999 and Capital items over \$50,000.

#### 4. SCHEDULING CONSIDERATIONS

List any scheduling factors to be considered such as new regulations coming into effect, timing project with cyclical business processes, seasonal requirements, increasing risk, etc. that have an impact on when this project is started, completed and/or work on it may be performed.

Capital projects and purchases requiring an RFP or contracts will be scheduled in advance with Project Management and Facilities Management as their capacity allows.

#### 5. PRIORITY CONSIDERATIONS

Is the primary objective of this project to (check one or both):

- address an operational need necessary to maintain the status quo.
- address a strategic desire to change or enhance the status quo.

Fill out the Priority Matrix in Part D to help prioritize this project and enter the score here: Score

Items on Appendix A will be prioritized by the following priority levels: 1. Safety & Security, 2. Cost Savings or Service Enhancement, and 3. Capital Improvements. Priority levels are based on staff recommendations and final approved by the County Administrator or designee.

#### 6. ATTACHMENTS AND REFERENCES

- 6.1 List any relevant supporting or reference materials such as product quotes, legislation, photos, budget calculations, etc., and attach them to the track it requests as separate documents.
  - Appendix A List of Current Needs

#### PART B – PROJECT DETAIL

#### 7. PROJECT BUDGET

Does your project involve expenditures, revenues or fees? Yes No - If "Yes":

Donation revenues and associated approved expenditures.

#### 7.1 Initial Project Funding:

Where is the proposed initial funding for this project coming from?

## 

	<ul> <li>Existing budgeted operational funds to</li> </ul>	be used		
	■ New operational funds requested in nex	t year's b	udget	
	☐ Capital / project / contingency funds req	uested		
	<ul> <li>Existing grant funds available</li> </ul>			
	■ New grant funding to be applied for			
	Other: Donations This plan would seek to expend donation fu identified operational and capital needs, wh submission process or as needed. Any chan Administrator for review and approval.	ich will b	e reviewed annually as	part of the budget
7.2	How was the cost estimate determined? We Attaching quotes or cost breakdowns from o		The state of the s	timating cost?
	Describe: Consistent with budget policy. As path will be determined in accordance with			nates and procurement
7.3	Operational Expenditure Changes if Any	(include	year's 1 – 5 if applica	ble):
	Expenditure Item	Year	Budget Account	Estimated Change
	None (or itemize)	1		\$0
	The purchase of items on Appendix A with	donation	s may decrease planned	general fund or CIP
	expenditures.		,	Bernard Co.
7.4	•	litures, ar	e they incorporated and	sufficiently funded in
7.4	expenditures.  If project has associated operational expend	litures, ar et submit	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No	sufficiently funded in
	expenditures.  If project has associated operational expend your most recent or pending five-year budge	litures, ar et submit	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No	sufficiently funded in
	expenditures.  If project has associated operational expend your most recent or pending five-year budg  Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inch	litures, ar et submit ide year	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No S	sufficiently funded in N/A
	expenditures.  If project has associated operational expend your most recent or pending five-year budg  Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inche  Revenue Item	litures, ar et submit ide year	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No S	sufficiently funded in N/A Estimated Change
	expenditures.  If project has associated operational expend your most recent or pending five-year budg  Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inche Revenue Item  None (or itemize)	itures, ar et submit ide year Year 1	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No No States 1-5 if applicable):  Budget Account	sufficiently funded in N/A  Estimated Change  \$0  ear budget submittal?
7.5	Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inche Revenue Item  None (or itemize)  Anticipated donations.  Are anticipated revenues incorporated in your Yes No No. No. Budgeted for do	itures, ar et submit ide year Year 1	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No No States 1-5 if applicable):  Budget Account	sufficiently funded in N/A  Estimated Change  \$0  ear budget submittal?
7.5	Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inchesting Revenue Item  None (or itemize)  Anticipated donations.  Are anticipated revenues incorporated in your most recent or pending five-year budge in the process.  Are anticipated donations.  Are anticipated revenues incorporated in your most recent in the process.	itures, ar et submit ide year Year 1	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No Set 1-5 if applicable):  Budget Account  recent or pending five-ye count line and capital per	sufficiently funded in N/A  Estimated Change  \$0  ear budget submittal?
7.5	Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inchestigated donations).  Revenue Item  None (or itemize)  Anticipated donations.  Are anticipated revenues incorporated in your submitted within the budget process.  Funding Approval Authority:	itures, ar et submit ide year Year 1	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No Set 1-5 if applicable):  Budget Account  recent or pending five-ye count line and capital per	sufficiently funded in N/A  Estimated Change  \$0  ear budget submittal?
7.5	Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inchestigated donations).  Revenue Item  None (or itemize)  Anticipated donations.  Are anticipated revenues incorporated in your submitted within the budget process.  Funding Approval Authority:  What levels of approval are needed to authority.	Year  1  ur most in mation according fund	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No Set 1-5 if applicable):  Budget Account  recent or pending five-ye count line and capital per	sufficiently funded in N/A  Estimated Change  \$0  ear budget submittal?
7.5	Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inche Revenue Item  None (or itemize)  Anticipated donations.  Are anticipated revenues incorporated in your with the budget process.  Funding Approval Authority:  What levels of approval are needed to authout Manager / Director / Elected Official	Year  1  ur most in mation according fund	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No Set 1-5 if applicable):  Budget Account  recent or pending five-ye count line and capital per	sufficiently funded in N/A  Estimated Change  \$0  ear budget submittal?
7.5	Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inclusional expenditures)  Estimated Revenue Changes if Any (inclusional expenditure)  Revenue Item  None (or itemize)  Anticipated donations.  Are anticipated revenues incorporated in your submitted within the budget process.  Funding Approval Authority:  What levels of approval are needed to author Manager / Director / Elected Official  Commission, Committee, Team or other	Year  1  ur most in mation according fund	e they incorporated and tal? Yes No Set 1-5 if applicable):  Budget Account  recent or pending five-ye count line and capital per	sufficiently funded in N/A  Estimated Change  \$0  ear budget submittal?

7.8	Funding Approval Process:		
	What process will be used to approve project funding?		
	■ Internal to Service Area / Department		
	Through Annual Budget Process - Year: 2025-2029		
	■ Budget Adjustment - Request for Action (RFA)		
	Personnel Request - Request for Action (RFA)		
	Other: County Administrator		
	The list of identified operational and capital needs will be revi submission process or as needed. Any changes to the approve Administrator for review and approval.		
ASSE	T MANAGEMENT		
Willy	our project result in a change to the assets owned by the County	y? 🛛 Yes 🗆	No - If "YES":
8.1	Assets Added:		
	Asset description and detail	Quantity	Useful Life
	Capital assets and building improvements		
	Asset addition notes and clarifications: See Appendix A, List	of Needed Ite	ms
PRO	CUREMENT AND CONTRACTING		
Willy	you need to procure products and/or contract for services? 😤 Y	es 🔲 No - If	"YES":
9.1	What is the estimated cost of products or services to be procur received. Estimates will be gathered in accordance with the pu		
9.2	If this an emergency purchase, provide a rationale supported b	y the Purchasi	ng Policy:
	Not Applicable (or provide a rationale) N/A		
9.3	Which procurement strategy is being proposed? All that apply	y at the time of	purchase.
	Sole Source		
	Reverse Auction		
	Cooperative Purchase (note Coop Agency and Contract #)		
	☐ Product/services procured through quotes		
	Request for Proposal (RFP) for products and/or services		
	Other		
	Project Management will provide recommendations procurem		
9.4	What level of approval will be needed to purchase product and account authority and approval thresholds?	l/or award serv	rice contract based on
	Manager / Director / Elected Official		
	Manager / Director / Esected Official		

	Commission, Committee, Team or other group:
0	County Administrator (RFA needed)
	Board of Commissioners (RFA needed)
Th	is will be based on the account authority and approval thresholds in the budget policy.

#### 10. PROCESS, PROCEDURE, POLICY and PERSONNEL CHANGES

If any processes, procedures or policies will be impacted by pursuing this project, please describe and elaborate:

Not Applicable

If any personnel changes will be needed to realize this project, please describe and elaborate:

Not Applicable

#### PART C – PROJECT MANAGEMENT SECTION

#### 11. RESOURCE NEEDS ESTIMATES

11.1 Taking into consideration all other sections of this Project Scoping Form, estimate the number of months to complete each stage of the project, including lag times. If the project has multiple phases, add additional tables. PMT will assist you in putting together an Activity Schedule to estimate resource needs.

Time and resources for capital projects will be in a project-scoping form as they are identified.

#### 12. MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT MANAGEMENT NOTES

- Prioritization of expenditures will be recommended by staff as donations are received and for items on Appendix A, with final approval by CAO or designee.
  - Items will be assigned Priority levels in three categories;
    - 1. Safety & Security
    - 2. Cost Savings or Service Enhancement
    - 3. Capital Improvements
- As stated above in the plan, the donation plan identified needs in three categories:
  - \$1.00 \$4,999 (Operational items)
  - \$5,000 \$49,999 (Capital and Operational items)
  - \$50,000 and above (Capital items)
- Allegan County Animal Shelter staff may solicit low-cost donations like collars, leashes, rawhide, treats, toys, etc. These donations may be made at the shelter, on social media, or through an Amazon wish list. Social media posts soliciting donations will be vetted by Administration.

### PART D - PROJECT PRIORITIZATION MATRIX

Legislative Compliance					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Project Relevance	Points		
State/Federal	20 = Complies with a State or	The donation plan itself is not mandated			
Mandate	Federal mandate / ordinance / law,	by State or Federal process. (only by	0		
	0 = not applicable or not mandated	County Policy)			

Employee Impact				
Category	Scoring Criteria	Project Relevance	<b>Points</b>	
Safety and	5 = increases or would result in a	N/A		
Security	decrease without this action,		0	
_	0 = not applicable or no impact			
Capabilities of	10 = increases or would result in a	N/A		
employees	decrease without this action,		0	
(skills, abilities	0 = not applicable or no impact			
and knowledge)				
Employee	5 = increases or would result in a	N/A		
Wellness	decrease without this action,		0	
	0 = not applicable or no impact			

Operational Impact					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Project Relevance	<b>Points</b>		
Efficiency / Capacity	10 = increases or would result in a decrease without this action, 0 = not applicable or no impact	It is anticipated that all project would result in efficiency or capacity.	10		
Scope	5 = impact multiple service areas 2 = impacts a single service area	Some items may impact other areas, like animal control.	2		
Prevention Planning	5 = Aligns to an existing plan (i.e. maintenance, improvement, replacement), or prolongs/preserves the life of an asset and prevents greater expenditure later, 0 = Not applicable or no impact	This Donation plan would take into account and align with the budget capital plan.	5		

Financial Impact					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Project Relevance	Points		
Expenditures	5 = Decreases expenditures or	Decreases expenditures from County			
•	would result in an increase	General Funds.			
	without this action,		3		
	0 = Not applicable or no impact				
Return on	5 = ROI within 2 years,	Possible			
Investment	2 = ROI within 5 years				
(ROI)	0 = Not applicable or no impact				

### 180

Revenue	5 = Increases revenue or would result in a decrease without this action, 0 = Not applicable or no impact	This plan offers an avenue to approve and receive donation revenues.	5
Risk	5 = decreases liability or would		
Management &	result in an increase without this		
Liability	action,		
	0 = not applicable or no impact		

	Service Impact					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Project Relevance	<b>Points</b>			
Level of Service	5 = increases or would result in a decrease without this action, 0 = not applicable or no impact	Seeking to invest in items or services that result in an increased level of service to animal welfare.	5			
Quality of Service	5 = increases or would result in a decrease without this action, 0 = not applicable or no impact	Seeking to invest in items or services that result in an increased quality of service for animal welfare.	5			
Accessibility of Service	5 = increases or would result in a decrease without this action, 0 = not applicable or no impact	Accessibility of Service will be considered where possible.				
Collaboration	5 = increases or would result in a decrease without this action, 0 = not applicable or no impact	Collaboration with partner agencies will be considered where possible.				

# Appendix A Donation Plan - Items Needed

Item	<b>Budget Category</b>	Description
	Category 1	\$1.00 - \$4,999
Low Cost Items	Category 1	Collars, Leashes, Specialty food, Rawhide, Treats, toys, etc.
Chip Scanner	Category 1	Additional scanners for Deputies on Road Patrol
Centerfuse 1	Category 1	Basic Spin blood test
Centerfuse 2	Category 1	Stat Spin blood test
Microscope	Category 1	Diagnostic tool
Microchip	Category 1	Clinics for low cost Microchips
Surgical light	Category 1	Ceiling mount
Pulseoximeter	Category 1	Anesthetic monitoring
Evacuation system	Category 1	Removes Anesthetic in surgical room
	Category 2	\$5,000 - \$49,999
Storage Shed	Category 2	Pre-built Storage Shed
Surgical Table	Category 2	Adjustable Surgical Table
Locking refrigerator	Category 2	Locking refrigerator for medical supplies (vaccines)
	Category 3	\$50,000 and up
Kennels with Dog run	Category 3	Outdoor kennel space including additional dog run
Medical Expansion	Category 3	Expand the current shelter with additional medical and storage

6/26/2024

FACILITIES MANAGEMENT-AWARD COUNTY SERVICES BUILDING ROOF REPLACEMENT BID 21/ WHEREAS, the Allegan County Board of Commissioners (Board)approved a \$460,000 appropriation within the 2024 Budget (#401 Public Improvements Fund) to fund the replacement of the roofing membrane on the County Services Building; and

WHEREAS, consistent with the County's Purchasing Policy, a request for proposal process was used to solicit competitive bids and those bids have been evaluated by the Project Team to identify the lowest bidder with a satisfactory proposal and references.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board awards the bid to replace the roofing membrane on the County Services Building (Project #1124-24) to Modern Roofing, Inc. of 4741 24th Street, Dorr, MI 49323 for base bid amount of \$206,120.00; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board authorizes the County Administrator to approve additional expenditures deemed necessary to complete this project, provided the total cost of the project remains within budget; and

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED** that the County Administrator is authorized to make the necessary budget adjustments, and any necessary documents to complete this action are authorized to be signed.

Moved by Commissioner Dugan, seconded by Commissioner DeYoung to take immediate action and approve the resolution with clerical corrections. Motion carried by roll call vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes

FACILITIES MANAGEMENT-AWARD YOUTH HOME BUILDING ROOF REPLACEMENT BID 22/ WHEREAS, the Allegan County Board of Commissioners (Board)approved a

\$98,000 appropriation within the 2024 Budget (#401 Public Improvements Fund) to fund the roof replacement of Section 5 on the Youth Home Building; and

WHEREAS, consistent with the County's Purchasing Policy, a request for proposal process was used to solicit competitive bids and those bids have been evaluated by the Project Team to identify the lowest bidder with a satisfactory proposal and references.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board awards the bid to replace the roofing on the Youth Home Building - Section 5 (Project #1124-24A) to Division 7 Building Contractors, Inc. of 8179 Neptune Drive, Kalamazoo, MI 49009 for the base bid amount of \$167,370; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board authorizes the County Administrator to approve additional expenditures deemed necessary to complete this project of up to a total project cost of \$188,000; and

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED** that the County Administrator is authorized to make the necessary budget adjustments, and any necessary documents to complete this action are authorized to be signed.

Moved by Commissioner Kapenga, seconded by Commissioner Dugan to take immediate action and approve the resolution as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes

## AREA AGENCY ON AGING OF WESTERN MICHIGAN-APPROVE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FY25

**23/ WHEREAS**, on June 26, 2024, the County's Commission on Aging (COA) reviewed the AIP plan and recommends the Board of Commissioners (Board) approval.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that in accordance with all statutes and policies governing the AAAWM, the Board supports the AIP for FY2025, as presented.

Moved by Commissioner DeYoung, seconded by Commissioner Kapenga to take immediate action and approve the resolution as presented. Motion carried by voice vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes





The Source for Seniors

May 20, 2024

Jim Storey, County Board Chair Allegan County Board of Commissioners 3283 122<sup>nd</sup> Avenue Allegan, MI 49010

Dear Commissioner Storey,

Enclosed is the Area Agency on Aging of Western Michigan's (AAAWM) proposed Annual Implementation Plan (AIP) for fiscal year 2025.

Upon approval by the State of Michigan Commission on Services to the Aging in August 2024, this plan will secure funding for programs and services that benefit older adults and caregivers, in Allegan County from October 1, 2024, to September 30, 2025.

We kindly request your County Commission's written approval of this plan. Please ensure your response is received by AAAWM on or before July 19, 2024. If we do not hear from you by this date, we will assume the County Commission's implied approval of the plan.

Please send your response to:

Sher & Marris

Sheri Harris, Director of Advocacy and Planning 3215 Eaglecrest Drive NE Grand Rapids, MI 49525


Alternatively, you can email a response to: Sherih@aaawm.org

If the Commission does not support the AIP, please let me know. If there are any questions, please feel free to contact me. I can be reached via email at <a href="mailto:sherih@aaawm.org">sherih@aaawm.org</a>, fax at 616-456-5692, or by phone at 616-222-7015.

Sincerely,

Sheri Harris, Director of Advocacy and Planning

Through internal expertise, external partnerships, and advocacy, The Area Agency on Aging of Western Michigan (AAAWM), provides older adults, adults with disabilities, and their caregivers equitable access to services that promote independence and dignity in their communities.



AAAWM was established in April of 1974, one of sixteen regional Area Agencies on Aging on Michigan at that time and one of over 600 area agencies in the nation. The Planning Service Area (PSA) of the Area Agency on Aging of Western Michigan (AAAWM) (Region 8) is comprised of nine counties: Allegan, Ionia, Kent, Lake, Mason, Mecosta, Montcalm, Newaygo, and Osceola.

We understand that the aging process is unique for everyone, and we are committed to offering tailored services to meet the needs of all older adults.

As of March 2024, AAAWM is finalizing our new agency Strategic Plan for 2024-2026. We reformatted the plan to be a more intentional and easier to disseminate document to reference by all staff and community partners. In this plan, we have updated AAAWM's mission and values and added a new vision statement.

Our overarching goals for the next three years include engaging in new initiatives and partnerships by diversifying funding, expanding to meet unfulfilled needs, and launching the Essential Care at Home program. We plan to build brand awareness by increasing referrals and implementing a new database to track those referrals and strengthen outreach and support region wide.

We will work to have a greater impact on policy changes and improve our legislative influence by representing those we serve and communicating their needs. Lastly, to maintain a collaborative and productive workforce we will be updating our recruitment, hiring, onboarding, development, and retention practices along with providing staff more agency educational opportunities.

Specifically for 2024, a few initiatives we have planned are to enter at least one new partnership emphasizing the need for senior housing, we will be creating a Return on Investment (ROI) procedure for outreach initiatives and through advocacy we will help pass the Long-Term Care

Ombudsman (LTCO) increased funding of \$3 million to add 33 new LTCO positions state-wide. In FY24, with the financial support of American Plan Rescue Act (ARPA) funding, we are implementing a database that will create efficiencies in monitoring spending, tracking outcomes, processing contracted partner payments, and running reports. The database is planned to be fully implemented by October 1, 2024.

We continue to monitor the Older Americans Act funding and anticipated end to the ARPA dollars at the end of FY24. We maintain ongoing conversations with our partner agencies and review spending monthly to ensure spending is on track and identify any spending trends throughout the year. Our OAA spending is currently on track with our sustainability plan factoring in the end of ARPA to ease any funding cliff in the coming years.

Beginning in Fiscal Year 25, new Caregiver Service Standards will be implemented, prompting us to revamp our Caregiver Supplemental program to address urgent needs within this framework. We plan to utilize a Caregiver Resource Grant, in Fiscal Year 24 to support the introduction of this program. Moreover, over the past two years, we have successfully run the Grandparent Back-to-School Gift Card Program to assist grandparents who are raising their grandchildren with the expenses of school supplies. Given its success, we intend to make this an ongoing annual initiative under the Caregiver Supplemental program starting in Fiscal Year 25.

In the last couple years, we have seen two adult day center partners close their doors leaving an increased need for this service. In FY25, we have one new adult day center apply for Kent County Senior Millage funds with the potential of also applying for Older Americans Act funding in FY26. This additional partnership would help address the increased need for adult day care with the previous centers closing. We will continue to have conversations with our current adult day partners regarding the demand and their capacity and foster conversations with potential new partners.

We often think of nutrition services as being a Home Delivered meal or Congregate meal and though these are essential, we know nutrition needs are beyond a meal. In preparation for an FY26 start, we are exploring options and partnerships to introduce additional nutrition services to our region including nutrition education and counseling to support further nutrition needs for clients and their caregivers.

AAAWM is dedicated to enhancing its Options Counseling services as a cornerstone of our commitment to comprehensive care. Collaborating closely with community partners, we are diligently expanding our network to offer clients an extensive array of community resources. This collaborative effort ensures that individuals seeking assistance can access vital services tailored to their specific needs and circumstances. Furthermore, we are prioritizing the reinforcement of our firewalls to maintain the integrity and importiality of our services. This proactive measure ensures that we uphold the highest standards of ethical conduct, guaranteeing that our clients receive unbiased guidance and support in navigating their options. Our unwavering dedication to transparency and conflict-free service provision underscores our

mission to empower individuals to make informed decisions and achieve their desired outcomes with confidence.

Emphasis on serving those in greatest social and/or economic need has always been priority. This expectation is communicated to partners regularly, reflected in our policies and procedures and discussed when seeking new partnerships. We want our services to reach those in most need and we seek out partnerships that serve a diverse population. We are taking a close look at our FY23 year-end service data to identify areas we can improve and increase our impact throughout the region. We work closely with partners to emphasize the importance of collecting data and using prioritization tools to ensure we are serving those most in need.

AAAWM is actively exploring innovative strategies to leverage Social Determinants of Health (SDOH) data effectively in reaching underserved communities. Our focus is on understanding the intricate interplay between social, economic, and environmental factors that impact health outcomes. This proactive stance underscores our commitment to advancing health equity and ensuring that our services are accessible and responsive to the diverse realities of these communities.

AAAWM is dedicated to broadening its presence in educational events, with a strategic focus on attending expos and marketing events, particularly targeting underserved communities. This deliberate approach allows us not only to showcase our services but also to engage in meaningful discussions and cultivate relationships. By actively participating in these events, we aim to foster connections and bridge gaps within these communities, ultimately contributing to their empowerment and access to essential resources.

The Area Agency on Aging of Western Michigan values diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI); which is why we welcome individuals from all walks of life to work, partner, or participate with us. As an agency, we strive to provide an environment where all involved feel valued, celebrated, and heard. By committing to DEI, we foster growth and innovation while fulfilling our mission.

AAAWM increased service to the African American population from FY2022 to Fy2023 by 1% (443 unduplicated individuals). Our service to LGBTQ+ seniors increased by .09% during that timeframe and also had an increase of 31 individuals self-identify as transgender. While we do not currently have a year's worth of demographic data to look at for FY2024, we anticipate that our agency will continue to increase its service to BIPOC, LGBTQ+ and veteran seniors through our ongoing plan which you will find below.

- Collaborate with community partners: Partner with community organizations that serve BIPOC and LGBTQ+ seniors to build trust, develop culturally sensitive programming, and ensure that services are accessible.
- Hire diverse staff: Hire staff that reflects the diversity of the communities we serve. We have made improvements to our hiring process such as posting job openings in community newsletters and websites that are sent out to a diverse group of individuals.

- We are also asking a question surrounding diversity and inclusion in all interviews to see how staff can advocate for and bring diversity and inclusion into their role.
- Provide training: Provide training to staff and volunteers on cultural competency, including understanding different cultural norms, beliefs, and values. This will help ensure that services are culturally sensitive and welcoming to all.
- 4. Engage in ongoing outreach: Engage in ongoing outreach to veteran, BIPOC and LGBTQ+ seniors through community events, social media, and other outreach efforts. This will help increase awareness of the services available and encourage seniors to access them. AAAWM has booths at Grand Rapids Pride Festival and Rock the Block (catered to BIPOC) and look to attend more cultural events.

The Area Agency on Aging of Western Michigan (AAAWM) is committed to educating our staff, providers, and caregivers on implicit bias, cultural competencies, and root causes of racism. When individuals begin employment at AAAWM, they are required to take an asynchronous course provided by MiGen (Michigan LGBTQ+ Elders Network). This course topic is titled, "Introduction: Serving LGBT Older Adults." This course covers using inclusive language and walks through how to engage with older adults in the LGBT+ community. AAAWM staff are also required to take 2 virtual courses annually addressing these topics. Those courses are titled "Cultural Competence" and "Understanding Privilege."

AAAWM offers trainings for our partner agencies (providers) throughout the year and includes topics on implicit bias and cultural competencies. For example, we provided a training on how to address microaggressions in the workplace. AAAWM has offered workshop topics through Family Caregiver University and the Caregiver Resource Network that fall within the categories of implicit bias and cultural competencies as well and will continue to do so. If staff, service partners, or caregivers' express interest or need for a specific training topic, a training will be identified and provided at our agency, or information will be shared if there is a training provided by another organization that an individual may attend.

In preparation for any reduction in federal funding, AAAWM has planned to seek alternative funding options. One funding stream is the Kent County Senior Millage, which in FY24 has provided over \$15 million in direct service to supports older adults in Kent County. The state Caregiver Resource Grant is being used to expand services to support caregivers and help bridge other gaps in need region wide. AAAWM is seeking two transportation grants that will help our current transportation partners with rising costs in addition to support possible service expansion. AAAWM's plan, is to use carryover dollars from regular OAA funding to lessen the impact of the loss of ARPA funding in FY25 and FY26. AAAWM continues to monitor the current OAA partner spending to ensure sustainability over the coming years to avoid any funding cliff.

In Fiscal Year 24, our advocacy efforts centered on fostering stronger relationships with legislators in our region, enhancing communications, and increasing engagement to bolster our advocacy initiatives. Noteworthy achievements include co-hosting a coffee hour with one legislator and collaborating on an event focused on seam prevention with the Attorney General, Additionally, we successfully lobbied for an \$.85 increase in the wage of Direct Care workers. Federally, we advocated for the reauthorization of the Older Americans Act. For FY 25, our focus remains steadfast on advancing critical priorities. Firstly, we aim so fortify the Ombudsman program by securing a \$3 million expansion, facilitating the addition of 33 new Ombudsmen statewide. This endeavor aligns with our commitment to ensuring the needs of long-term care facility residents are met effectively. Concurrently, we advocate for a \$4.00 per hour increase in pay for Direct Care Workers, acknowledging the indispensable role they play in the healthcare ecosystem. Moreover, we advocate for adjustments to the M1 Choice Medicaid participant asset limits to reflect inflation and labor costs, thus enhancing accessibility to essential services.

In FY25, we are taking a multifaceted approach to advocacy, spanning ten key priorities. Foremost among these is the expansion of the Ombudsman program, alongside initiatives to bolster Direct Care Worker wages and promote health equity. Additionally, we champion administrative enhancements within the MI Choice Program, aimed at optimizing service delivery and improving outcomes for program participants.

Reflecting back over the past year, AAAWM and our contracted partners have had many successes to celebrate. Our FY23 year-end data for Older Americans Act shows that our network of partners served 16,760 clients which is a 13% increase from FY22. We continue to see an increase in clients served post-pandemic with clients welcoming back in-home help, using transportation to get around more, and senior centers are back open and providing in-person programming.

FY23 was the second year we conducted the Back-to-School Gift Card Program which provides financial assistance for purchasing school items for grandparents raising grandchildren. In FY23 we assisted 48 households and one household consisting of a grandfather and three grandchildren, sent in a handwritten note thanking AAAWM for the assistance which "helped a great deal" to purchase their school supplies. In FY23, our Disease Prevention/Health Promotion department re-branded to "Engaging Wellness". This has been a region-wide effort in increasing the marketing for the classes and adding new locations to ensure we have at least one in each of our counties. We have seen an increase in attendance for these classes with the additional marketing push.

In FY23, we were able to use a state grant to translate our most frequently used service materials to the most spoken languages in our region and in FY24, we are working on translating caregiver-specific resources to those same languages with the Caregiver Resource Center grant. This will increase access to service information for both caregivers and clients. We are also in process of updating our phone system and marketing for in-person classes and trainings to make interpreter services and accommodations more readily available. Another success in FY24 has been signing with SalesForce to implement a database to track spending, process provider payments, better track outcomes, and create reports. This database will save staff time by making data entry more automated, reduce potential for human error, and lessen the time it takes to pay providers.

We have a new Regional Direct Service Request for Dementia Friends this planning year. It is our hope that we will expand the Dementia Friends Michigan program by adding more Dementia. Champions to facilitate sessions. AAAWM will also be networking with other Area Agencies in Michigan to train their staff to be able to run their own Dementia Friends program in their region. This will all lead to an increase in community knowledge about Dementia and Dementia Resources. There is a plan to continue to host an informational session that provide dementia education, raises awareness, challenges stigma surrounding dementia, and share community resources and supports.

AAAWM is looking to begin delivering Primary Care Services throughout our PSA. These services will be delivered in the community, where residents reside. This program is a comprehensive initiative aimed at evolving healthcare delivery by providing essential medical and behavioral health services, as well as care management, to individuals in the comfort of their homes. This innovative approach integrates home and community-based services with medical and behavioral care to address the social determinants of health, enhance health outcomes, and elevate the quality of life for participants. At the heart of this program are person-centered principles and an interdisciplinary team approach, which involves collaboration among medical, behavioral, and social care professionals, alongside the patient and/or their caregivers. The overarching goal is to achieve tangible outcomes like reducing emergency room visits, minimizing hospitalizations, and prolonging participants' ability to live independently within their communities.

Some foreseeable challenges in FY25 include the continued need for direct care workers and increased pay to maintain and grow the industry. Unit rate increases were given to contracted partners in FY23 to assist in maintaining and expanding their workforce but additional support for legislation to increase the DCW wage is crucial.

We will continue to strategically allocate funding for the Older Americans Act and monitor spending to ensure sustainability of funds over the next couple years to avoid a funding cliff with ARPA dollars ending at the end of FY24. We continue to see the need for the expanded service definitions that were developed during the pandemic allowing for services to be delivered in a variety of ways.

AAAWM is proactively devising strategies to address the increasing demand for services amid limited resources and static funding, which are inadequate to meet the growing needs. In response to these challenges, we are pursuing innovative solutions to enhance resource allocation, improve service delivery, and boost efficiency, all while maintaining the high quality of care our clients expect and deserve.

## BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS-SUPPORT ONPOINT'S RESOLUTION ON PROPOSED WASKUL SETTLEMENT

24/ WHEREAS, on June 18, 2024, the Community Mental Health Authority passed a resolution in opposition to the proposed Waskul Settlement, and;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Allegan County Board of Commissioners supports the attached resolution as written.

Moved by Commissioner Beltman, seconded by Commissioner Kapenga to take immediate action and approve the resolution as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes





(DBA for Allegan County Community Mental Health Authority) 540 Jenner Drive, Allegan, MI 49010 www.onpointallegan.org

#### RESOLUTION OF THE ONPOINT BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON THE PROPOSED WASKUL SETTLEMENT

The Board of Directors of OnPoint, in a meeting of the Board of Directors that was duly noticed, called, and at which a quorum was present to conduct business and which was held on June 18, 2024, hereby takes the following actions and resolutions regarding the proposed settlement of the Waskul, et al. v. Washtenaw County Community Mental Health, et al. suit (Waskul):

- WHEREAS, OnPoint has for years, individually and through the Community Mental Health Association of Michigan (CMHA), urged the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS), our state legislators, and others to fix Michigan's chronic underfunding of the public behavioral health system; and,
- WHEREAS, OnPoint fully supports additional funding going to the public behavioral health system as that funding is critically needed; indeed, Michigan has been facing a direct care worker (DCW) crisis for years, and the low wages DCWs continue to receive are a primary challenge for recruiting and retaining direct care workers for CMHs and our networks of contracted agencies; and,
- WHEREAS, in the public behavioral health context, DCW wages are a product of the funding that the State of Michigan and MDHHS provide for a specified Medicaid service; and.
- WHEREAS, DCWs are the front line worker for a variety of public behavioral health services, including community living supports (CLS) services, and DCWs work for individuals who self-direct their CLS services as well as agency providers; and,

OnPoint Board Resolution #2024-003, Page 1 of 4

- WHEREAS, agency providers of CLS services are the backbone of the public behavioral health system for CLS services and OnPoint, as a CMH, is obliged to ensure an adequate and sufficient network of agency providers for CLS services; and,
- WHEREAS, when local CMHs receive crisis calls from the community, hospital, law enforcement, or others, and an emergency community placement is needed for that individual's condition, OnPoint and our contracted agency providers are the organizations who step in to ensure that such individuals receive the care they need in the least restrictive environment; and,
- WHEREAS, when individuals are eligible for CLS services but do not have the ability to navigate the complex Medicaid system or serve as their own employer of record in Self-Directed arrangements and do not have the family or other support to serve in that role, then OnPoint and our contracted agency providers are the ones who ensure that those individuals receive CLS services to remain in the community; and,
- WHEREAS, there is a very tight labor market for DCWs, any short-sighted disturbance of this labor market in favor of a particular service or provider will eventually have unhelpful system- and state-wide consequences, which is why OnPoint and CMHA push for pay increases for all DCWs with support of legislative appropriations; and,
- WHEREAS, OnPoint cares about every single service recipient and does not wish to see any group of individuals disadvantaged by the very limited scope of benefit that will result from the proposed settlement; and,
- WHEREAS, OnPoint's board applauds MDHHS's apparent willingness to offer additional funding for CLS service workers in the proposed settlement, but holds that the terms of the settlement are not good public policy and will have negative consequences for the part of the public behavioral health system operated by OnPoint; and,
- WHEREAS, MDHHS's current funding supports a CLS hourly rate of approximately \$20.50, the proposed settlement will increase that rate to \$31.00 per hour for FY2025 and higher after that, but only for the minority of individuals under the state's Medicaid Habilitation Support Waiver who self-direct their CLS service; and,
- WHEREAS, in limiting additional funding to those individuals on the Habilitation Supports Waiver who self-direct their CLS service, MDHHS will skew the labor market away from agency providers – the backbone of the system – towards self-directed arrangements, causing CLS providers to move toward the more lucrative positions, and de facto increasing the going rate statewide for CLS services to \$31.00 per hour; and.

- WHEREAS, OnPoint anticipates that the proposed Waskul settlement will result in not only the loss of DCWs but also the loss of lower level provider agency management staff who will also earn more as DCWs than as managers for CLS services in selfdetermination arrangements; and,
- WHEREAS, the proposed Waskul settlement will disadvantage far more individuals than it helps, and that the individuals we serve who will be disadvantaged will include a disproportionate number of people who are older, are ethnic minorities, are from less affluent families and are without family or other support systems; thus, they are among the most vulnerable clients OnPoint serves; and,
- WHEREAS, to increase the payment of OnPoint's Self-Determination services to \$32.00 per hour would result in a 56% increase (of \$272,000) to OnPoint's direct costs, and to increase the payment of all community based CLS services to \$32.00 per hour to prevent workforce discrepancies would result in a 25% increase (of \$929,000) to OnPoint's direct costs; and,
- WHEREAS, in the proposed Waskul settlement, MDHHS proposes changes to the public behavioral health system that present a substantial risk for financial and workforce damage to OnPoint's CLS provider agencies; and,
- WHEREAS, the proposed Waskul settlement would increase the risk borne by OnPoint and its regional Pre-paid Inpatient Health Plan (PIHP), the Lakeshore Regional Entity, in its MDHHS contract; and,
- WHEREAS, in the proposed settlement MDHHS favors the "haves" over the "have-nots", it is the opinion of the OnPoint Board of Directors that this is wrong.
- NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the OnPoint Board of Director opposes the proposed Waskul settlement because:
  - It does not consider the impact of the proposed settlement on the broader labor market of the increasingly scarce group of individuals that provide CLS services, and,
  - It does not fairly treat all members of the class of individuals that provide CLS services in that it narrowly focuses on CLS services provided by individuals under self-directed arrangements under HCBS waiver funding sources, though they constitute a mere 17% of the overall CLS workforce, and,
  - The proposed settlement does not obligate MDHHS to reflect the additional costs that would be borne over time by all PIHPs and CMHs in its capitated payments.

#### RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED

Gale Dugan, Board Chairperson

Date: 6-18.2024

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the Resolution duly adopted by the OnPoint Board of Directors at a regularly scheduled meeting held on June 18, 2024, at which a quorum was present, and that said meeting was conducted and public notice of said meeting was given pursuant to and in full compliance with the Open Meetings Act, 1976 P.A. 267 as amended, and that the minutes of said meeting were kept and will be or have been made available as required by said Act.

Mark DeYoung, Board Secretary

#### PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - COMMENTS

25/ Chair Storey opened the meeting to public participation and the following individuals offered comments:

1. Tim Culver from Matt Hall's office addressed the board to regarding the State Budget that was passed by legislators.

#### ADJOURNMENT UNTIL JULY 11, 2024 AT 1:00 P.M.

26/ Moved by Commissioner Dugan, seconded by Commissioner DeYoung to adjourn until July 11, 2024 at 1:00 P.M. The motion carried by voice vote and the meeting was adjourned at 2:23 P.M. Yeas: 5 votes. Nays: 0 votes.

Chief Deputy Clerk

Board Chair

Minutes approved during the 00/00/2024 Session

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

#### BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF ALLEGAN

#### FINANCE - CLAIMS & INTERFUND TRANSFERS

WHEREAS, Administration has compiled the following claims for 7/5/24 & 7/12/24; and

WHEREAS, the following claims, which are chargeable against the County, were audited in accordance with Section 46.61 to 46.63, inclusive, M.C.L. 1970 as amended and resolutions of the Board; and

 $\mbox{\it WHEREAS}$  , said claims are listed in the 2024 Claims folder of the Commissioners' Record of Claims.

July 5, 2024

	.y 5, 2021		
	TOTAL AMOUNT CLAIMED	AMOUNT ALLOWED	AMOUNT DISALLOWED
General Fund - 101	266,629.21	266,629.21	
Parks/Recreation Fund - 208	5 <b>,</b> 589.96	5,589.96	
Friend of the Court - Cooperative Reimb 215	360.00	360.00	
Friend of the Court - Other - 216	127.00	127.00	
Health Department Fund - 221	12,609.45	12,609.45	
Solid Waste/Recycling - 226	6,526.66	6,526.66	
Register of Deeds Automation Fund - 256	243.90	243.90	
Indigent Defense Fund - 260	1,572.08	1,572.08	
Central Dispatch Fund - 261	41.24	41.24	
Concealed Pistol Licensing Fund - 263	100.00	100.00	
Justice Training Fund - 266	1,194.25	1,194.25	
Law Library Fund - 269	2,861.44	2,861.44	
Grants - 279	54.75	54.75	
Crime Victim's Rights Grant - 280	63.00	63.00	
Sheriffs Contracts - 287	314,616.00	314,616.00	
Transportation Fund - 288	7,432.24	7,432.24	
Child Care Fund - 292	7,523.21	7,523.21	
Veterans Relief Fund - 293	19.77	19.77	
Senior Services Fund - 298	1,850.25	1,850.25	
Radio Debt Fund - 367	473,756.17	473,756.17	
Capital Improvement Fund - 401	211,149.00	211,149.00	
Property Tax Adjustments - 516	20,782.54	20,782.54	
Self-Insurance Fund - 677	420,180.26	420,180.26	
Drain Fund - 801	31,275.65	31,275.65	

TOTAL AMOUNT OF CLAIMS	\$1,786,558.03	\$1,786,558.03	

July 12, 2024

	TOTAL AMOUNT CLAIMED	AMOUNT ALLOWED	AMOUNT DISALLOWED
General Fund - 101	172,892.00	172,892.00	DISTILLOWED
Parks/Recreation Fund - 208	3,595.57	3,595.57	
Friend of the Court - Cooperative Reimb 215	71.01	71.01	
Health Department Fund - 221	9,038.37	9,038.37	
Solid Waste/Recycling - 226	10,042.75	10,042.75	
Indigent Defense Fund - 260	143,685.22	143,685.22	
Central Dispatch Fund - 261	977.48	977.48	
Local Corrections Officers Training Fund - 264	245.91	245.91	
Sheriffs Contracts - 287	204.66	204.66	
Transportation Fund - 288	115,551.05	115,551.05	
Child Care Fund - 292	12,807.08	12,807.08	
Veterans Relief Fund - 293	1,549.32	1,549.32	
Senior Services Fund - 298	2,073.11	2,073.11	
American Rescue Plan Act - ARPA - 299	2,755,085.44	2,755,085.44	
Capital Improvement Fund - 401	6,424.90	6,424.90	
Central Dispatch CIP - 496	108,157.50	108,157.50	
Revolving Drain Maintenance Fund - 639	336.54	336.54	
Fleet Maintenance/Motor Pool - 661	4,384.43	4,384.43	
Self-Insurance Fund - 677	34,628.19	34,628.19	
Drain Fund - 801	7,409.54	7,409.54	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF CLAIMS	\$3,389,160.07	\$3,389,160.07	

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board of Commissioners adopts the report of claims for 7/5/24, 7/12/24, and interfund transfers.

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

#### BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF ALLEGAN

## BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS - AUTHORIZE CREATION OF FUND 217 AND ADOPT 2024 BUDGET

WHEREAS, on January 31, 2023, Public Act 1 of 2023 (PA1) appropriated funds to support the implementation of required annual in-service training standards for all licensed law enforcement officers in accordance with rules promulgated under section 11(2) of the MCOLES Act (1965 PA 203, MCL 28.611); and

WHEREAS, a new Special Revenue Fund 217 for MCOLES CPE would be kept separate from Law Enforcement Training Fund and other Special Revenue Funds that currently exist.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED the Board of Commissioners authorizes the creation of Fund 217, for MCOLES CPE; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** the Board of Commissioners adopts the 2024 budget for Fund 217, as follows:

State Revenue Revenue \$37,500 Training Expense \$37,500; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board of Commissioners authorizes the creation of additional accounts and budgets in Fund 217, as necessary, to comply with Public Act 1 of 2023 (PA1); and

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED** that the County Administrator is authorized to make the necessary adjustments to complete this action.