# ALLEGAN COUNTY BROADBAND ACTION WORKGROUP

MARCH 2022

### Who are we?

The Broadband Action Workgroup (BAW), established by the Allegan County Board of Commissioners, holds regular meetings on the first and third Thursday of each month.



### What are our goals?

Upon verification of eligibility through the ARPA funds or identification/allocation of alternative funds, hire a directly employed or contracted project lead (and possibly team) to lead the project and deliver results for Board approved Specific, Measurable, Actionable, Realistic, Timebased (S.M.A.R.T.) goals.

Consider partners, technical professionals, and other stakeholders to serve as an advisory resource to the project team.

Gather necessary and relevant data to form S.M.A.R.T. Goals

Consider plans, proposals, legislative initiatives, and other resources to establish and implement plans for increased accessibility.

### Timeline

#### September 2021

Steve Shults, GM/CEO of Bloomingdale Communications, met with the workgroup

Steve Sedore, Director of Operations for Allegan County, presented on County history in this area.

#### October 2021

Merit presented to the workgroup

Holland Board of Public Works presented their municipal broadband project to the workgroup

#### November 2021

Workgroup understood a need to hire a project manager

#### December 2021

Mandy Cooper, Lakeshore Advantage, presented on economic trends to the rest of the workgroup

Existing ARPA correspondence was reviewed and interview committee was formed

Bob Stewart, Frontier Communications State Director, presented to the workgroup

# Timeline (cont.)

#### January 2022

The project manager interview process picked up steam and the committee met regularly

A communication from the workgroup was drafted (and sent 2/2/22) to all local government units to gauge interest

#### February 2022

Scoring sheet and procedure for review were approved by the workgroup.

Jill Dunham was hired as the Project Manager

Resolution was passed calling for the funding of MIHI (Michigan High Speed Internet Office)

#### March 2022

Great Lakes Energy presented to the workgroup

Steve Schuls, Bloomingdale Communications, returned to the workgroup

### Next steps:

- Identifying the need and prioritizing areas
- Scoring matrix review
- Recommending <u>fundable</u> projects

### Where is the interest?

Significant need has been communicated in Pullman (Lee Township); Green Lake (Leighton Township); as well as Monterrey Township and northern portions of the county.



It is the intent of the workgroup to recommend funding of projects that advance broadband access and affordability in Allegan County. We see our role as reviewing and supporting funding requests before final approval by the Board of Commissioners.

# Jill Dunham

**Broadband Project Manager** 



# Understanding the need

- Contact made with all Townships
  - Meeting will be held with Supervisors on March 22
- Met individually with providers:
   Comcast; Spectrum; Great Lakes
   Energy; Midwest Energy; AcenTek;
   Michwaye

LAKETOWN TWP.	Holland &	OVERISEL TWP. District 2	SALEM TWP.	DORR TWP.	strict 4 LEIGHTON TWP.
Saugatuck D Douglas SAUGATUCK TWP.	istrict  1  MANLIUS  TWP.	HEATH TWP.	MONTEREY TWP. District 3	HOPKINS TWP. Hopkins	Wayland WAYLAND TWP.
GANGES TWP.	CLYDE TWP.	VALLEY TWP.	ALLEGAN TWP.	WATSON TWP. District 6	District 7 MARTIN TWP.
CASCO TWP.	District 5 LEE TWP.	CHESHIRE TWP.	TROWBRIDGE TWP.	OTSEGO TWP.	GUN PLAIN TWP. Plainwell



# Where do we go from here?

- Exploring options for surveys
- Prioritizing the investment(s) of ARPA funds

#### **Scoring Sheet for Allegan County American Rescue Plan Act Grants**

#### **Name of Applicant**

Review Criteria			
<b>ARPA Funding Requirments</b>	Qualifies	for Funding:	Does Not meet
- •			Expenditure Qualifications:
	meets or exceeds 100 Mbps		If does not meet expenditure
	symmetrical service	□ Yes □ No	qualifications, you may stop review here.
	Provide service upon completion	☐ Yes ☐ No	qualifications, you may stop review here.
	Incorporates federal low-income		
	subsidy programs	□ Yes □ No	
ADDA Delevision	last-mile service		
ARPA Priorities	last-illie service	☐ Yes	
	service owned, operated, or	□ Vos	
	affiliated with local governments	☐ Yes	
	communities currently lacking	☐ Yes	
	reliable 100/20 Mbps wireline	i res	
	lack of affordable access to		
	broadband service	☐ Yes	
State and Broadband	Lack Sufficient Access to Broadband	☐ Yes	
Funding Alignment	100/25 (USDA, RDOF)	i res	
runding Angilinent			
	Be in a rural area (USDA, RDOF)	□ Yes	
	Are was not previously funded or		
	overlap with other funding service	☐ Yes	
	areas (USDA Reconnect)		
	High poverty (USDA)	☐ Yes	
<b>Department of Commerce -</b>	low-income households, aging		
Digital Equity Act	populations, incarcerated	_	
	individuals, veterans, individuals	☐ Yes	
	with disabilities, individuals with a		
	language barrier, racial and ethnic minorities, and rural inhabitants		
Other Francisco	ininorities, and rural initiabitants		
Other Funding		☐ Yes	
If requestor is a local govt. unit, is it	contributing significant funding as v	l well as other resources to the success	of project (Total of 5 Points Possible) Total Points
Local unit contibuting <50% ARPA	Local unit contibuting <50% ARPA	Local unit contibuting >90% ARPA or	Local unit contibuting >90% ARPA or other funds to project
	funds but contibuting equivilant or	other funds to project.	and has significant local resources particpating in project
	greater funds for other sources.		completion
(0-4)	(5-9)	(10-14	(15-20)
Overall Project (Total of 5 Points Po	ssible): Total Points Awarded		
Project poorly constructed and there	Project somewhat described but	Project well described with a complete	Cleverly developed project with high potential for success;
would be little potential and/or	without enough detail to gauge	picture and good community	a novel proposal
community support	impact or impact deemed low	collaboration	
0	(1-2)	(3-4)	(5)
Demonstrates a critical, identified n	eed in the community: (Total of 10 F	Points Possible) Total Points Awarded	
Project goals are unclear or	Project goals are clear; questionable	Project goals are well framed and	Project goals are exceptionally well crafted; objectives are
inappropriate; objectives not offered	objectives are offered that are weak	adequate; objectives are adequate to	rich and do much in helping the project define success; Will
or not specific, measurable,	orlack specificity or measures;	the task but could perhaps be	definitely help reach the goals/objectives of ARPA RFP
acheivable, realistic & time based, not		strengthened; Clearly related to the	
related to the goals/objectives of ARPA	goals/objectives of ARPA	goals/objectives of ARPA	
(0-2)		(6-8)	
Project Plan demonstrates a long-te	rm investment in the community (To	otal of 20 Points Possible) Total Points	Awarded
Proposed steps are not offered or are	Proposed steps seem misguided or	Proposed steps are adequate as	Proposed steps are polished and well thought out;
not appropriate; Person/s responsible	could be significantly improved;	presented; Person/s responsible for	Person/s responsible for completing steps are well suited
for different tasks are not stated or	Person/s responsible for completing	completing steps are adequate for the	for the effort; Time for completing tasks is correctly
unclear; Time for completing steps not well established or may not be	tasks are somewhat appropriate but could be improved, time for	proposed effort; Time for completing steps seen as well founded and very	assessed and confident this applicant can deliver on the planned work
feasible	completing steps is offered but could	achievable	pianica work
	be improved significantly		
	•		
(0-4)	(5-9)	(10-14)	(15-20)
Demonstrates an impact that extend	ds beyond the boards of the local un	it (Total of 5 Points Possible) Total Po	ints Awarded -

Appropriate partners or stakeholders are not involved, or no partners are identified	Some appropriate partners or stakeholders appear to be missing	·	The partners and stakeholders identified are fitting and will strengthen the project; and a letter of support provided that clearly indicates the partners role
C	(1-2)	(3-4)	(5)
Demonstrates a funding need that i Points Possible) Total Points Awards	· ·	to fund solely through its allocation o	f ARPA funds as well as other resources (Total of 5
Budget is very poorly constructed; disconnected from project	Budget marginally constructed, appropriately connected to the project with some exceptions	Budget matches well with scope or work as offered and is appropriate to the work	Budget is well crafted and in synergy with project plan
C	(1-2)	(3-4)	(5)
Demontrates a long-term strategy t receive extra points) Total Points Av	•	trong and quitable recoverty from the	pandemic and economic downturn. (Applicant will
Purpose of grant was not	The purpose of the grants (2)		
Total Number of Points		-	

Recommendations for Award Amount or other considerations:

### A RESOLUTION TO SUPPORT THE FUNDING AND STAFFING OF THE MICHIGAN HIGH SPEED INTERNET OFFICE

WHEREAS Governor Gretchen Whitmer, Lieutenant Governor Garlin Gilchrist, State Senator Aric Nesbitt, and State Representative Mary Whiteford have gone on the record that high speed broadband is a top infrastructure priority.

WHEREAS in Allegan County alone almost 34 percent of households do not have access to broadband at their homes.

**WHEREAS** the COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the dire and immediate need for affordable, reliable high speed broadband internet access at homes and businesses.

WHEREAS the digital divide is exacerbating the homework gap and learning inequity for students without broadband access at home.

WHEREAS educators are burdened with having to develop instruction for students both with and without high speed broadband at home.

WHEREAS lack of broadband access stifles entrepreneurship and attraction of both businesses and talent.

WHEREAS lack of broadband access negatively impacts economic development, property values, personal prosperity, education, health and safety and overall quality of life.

**WHEREAS** farmers rely on high speed broadband to monitor their crops, maintain their essential and costly equipment as well as tracking commodity prices, promoting agri-tourism and selling their products.

WHEREAS communities without broadband experience higher rates of lost lives, injury, and crime, and residents in these communities have difficulty leveraging capabilities like TeleHealth, online banking, virtual meetings, working remotely and distance learning.

WHEREAS broadband access can combat the isolation experienced by our seniors and those living alone by enabling them to stay connected to family and friends.

WHEREAS the Allegan County Board of Commissioners established the Broadband Action Workgroup in 2021 with the goal to feasibly close the digital divide and provide recommendations on the spending of funds.

WHEREAS a stated goal of the Broadband Action Workgroup is to consider plans, proposals, legislative initiatives, and other resources to establish and implement plans for increased accessibility.

**RECOGNIZING** that Governor Gretchen Whitmer established the Michigan Office of High Speed Internet on June 2, 2021 to coordinate and advance state efforts on expanding internet access.

**RECOGNIZING** the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act was signed into law by President Joe Biden on November 15, 2021 and has received bipartisan support including from Congressman Fred Upton (R-St. Joseph).

**RECOGNIZING** that Governor Gretchen Whitmer signed Executive Directive 2021-12 on November 29, 2021 readying state departments and agencies in response to the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act.

**BE IT RESOLVED** the Allegan County Broadband Action Workgroup supports funding and full-time staff for the Michigan High Speed Internet Office.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** the Allegan County Broadband Action Workgroup urges members of the State Legislature and Executive Office of the Governor to promptly reach an agreement to fund the Michigan High Speed Internet Office and appropriate federal funding to counties to expand broadband.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that a copy of this resolution be sent to Governor Gretchen Whitmer; Lieutenant Governor Garlin Gilchrist; State Senator Aric Nesbitt; State Representative Mary Whiteford; State Representative Steven Johnson; the Allegan County Board of Commissioners; and the Michigan Association of Counties.

Adopted: 2/17/22 Voice vote Yes: 8 Alya & Alban 3

Austin Marsman, Chairperson

feet the

Allegan County Broadband Action Workgroup